

COLLECTION 4 TASK B

MULTIMEDIA CAMPAIGN

| | Ideas and Evidence | Organization | Language |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| ADVANCED | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All parts of the campaign clearly state a position and a call for action. • Logical reasons and relevant evidence support the claim. • Counterarguments are addressed. • The campaign’s message is strong and unified throughout. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reasons and evidence are organized logically and consistently to persuasive effect. • Transitions connect reasons and evidence to the writer’s claim. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The editorial is presented in a consistent, formal style. • Grammar, mechanics, and usage are correct. |
| COMPETENT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A position is stated but could be more clear. • Reasons and evidence could be more convincing. • Responses to counterarguments need development. • The campaign’s message is strong and mostly unified. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The organization of reasons and evidence is confusing in places. • A few more transitions are needed to connect reasons and evidence to the claim. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The style of the editorial becomes informal in a few places. • Some errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics occur. |
| LIMITED | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The writer’s position is not clear. • Some reasons and evidence are not logical or relevant. • Opposing claims are not addressed logically. • The campaign’s message is somewhat inconsistent. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The organization of reasons and evidence is logical in some places, but it does not follow a clear pattern. • Many more transitions are needed to connect reasons and evidence to the claim. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The style of the editorial becomes informal in many places. • Grammar, usage, and mechanics are incorrect in many places. |
| EMERGING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No position is stated. • Reasons and evidence are missing. • Opposing claims are not anticipated or addressed. • The campaign lacks a unified message. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A logical organization is not used; reasons and evidence are presented randomly. • Transitions are not used, making the argument difficult to understand. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The style is inappropriate for the argument. • Errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics obscure the meaning of ideas. |